



June 16, 2008

Sent via email

Eric Johnson U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 8, 8ENF-T 999 18th Street, Suite 300 Denver, Colorado 80202-2466

RE: Progress report for May 2008 activities - Hecla Mining Company Apex Site (EPA ID No. UT982589848, Docket No. RCRA-8-99-06)

Dear Mr. Johnson:

Per paragraph 64 of the Order, enclosed is a copy of the May 2008 progress report for your records.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to call me at (208) 769-4112 or e-mail at pglader@hecla-mining.com.

Sincerely,

Paul L. Glader

Manager Environmental Services

Encl

Cc:

HMC Legal Dept (w/o attachments)

John Jacus, Esq. (DG&S)



June 16, 2008

Sent via U.S. Mail

Glenn Rogers, Chairman. Shivwits Band of Paiute Indian Tribe 6060 West 3650 North Ivins, Utah 84738

John Krause Bureau of Indian Affairs Phoenix Area Office U.S. Department of Interior P.O. Box 10 Phoenix, AZ 85001

Kelly Youngbear BIA Southern Paiute Agency P.O. Box 720 St. George, UT 84771

RE: Progress report for May 2008 activities - Hecla Mining Company Apex Site (EPA ID No. UT982589848, Docket No. RCRA-8-99-06)

Dear Chairman Rogers, Mr. Krause and Ms. Youngbear:

Per paragraph 64 of the Order, enclosed is a copy of the April 2008 progress report for your records.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to call me at (208) 769-4112 or e-mail at pglader@hecla-mining.com.

Sincerely

Paul L. Glader

Manager Environmental Services

Encl

Cc:

HMC Legal Dept. (w/o attachments)
John Jacus, Esq. (DG&S) (w/o attachments)
Eric Johnson (USEPA, Region VIII) (w/o attachments)



MEMORANDUM TO:

Apex File

COPIES TO:

distribution

FROM:

Paul Glader

SUBJECT:

Progress Report No. 49 for period ending April 30, 2008; Pond 2 Final Closure - Apex Site, Washington County, Utah

Summary

The monthly visual inspection, per the long term monitoring plan, was conducted on May 3. No unusual conditions were noted.

Discussion

Surface Monitor Results To Date – The settlement monitoring monuments were surveyed by Alpha Engineering on March 12. Since monitoring of the top surface began (Jan 4, 2006), there has been no appreciable movement in the surface monuments at the Apex site. There are no concerns to date with settlement. As expected with long-term consolidation, the data shows that settlement rates are decreasing over time.

Erosion repair was started March 19 and concluded March 21. The primary area of repair was the northern edge of the area. The entire site was revegetated between March 24 and March 26.

Work Planned for Next Period

Visual inspection of site

Settlement monument survey - quarterly basis

Cost and Schedule

Committed costs in May 2008 were \$141. Total project to date committed is approximately \$1,286,000.

Supplemental Attachments

May 2008 site inspection report

May 2008 cost report

Annual Site Inspection Summary Sheet - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan

Form 1 of 4 - Summary

Date: <u>5-3-0</u> \$					
Inspector:	TRumas	<u>, </u>		:	
Cover System Component	Potential Problem		Allowable Limits	Limits Potentially Exceeded	
Site Perlmeter	Erosion or	Fencing Issues	NA NA		
	Subsidence		Minor: ponding < 1" some gullying / erosion	Yes 🗶 * No	
			Significant: see Table 2	Yes <u> </u> * No <u> </u> ∡	
	Embankment Slope Stability		excessive movement or surface cracks > than	Yes* No <u>*</u>	
·		on top	depth > 1"	Var + Na V	
Cover System (outslopes, top,		at embankment crest or on outslope	depth > 2"	Yes No X	
rock)	Gullying	w/in normal flow channel in diversion channel	no gullying allowed	Yes _ º No ≰	
		w/in diversions at toe of impoundment outslope	no gullying allowed	Yes No k	
		in diversion channel at any other location	NA .	NA	
	Erosion Protection Stability		rock subsiding or missing	Yes *No ≿	
	Seepage		no colored seepage allowed (red, blue, yellow w/ crystallization)	Yes * No	
	Diversion Channel		rock in place, channel not moving, fence stable	Yes ℘ ° No	
Runoff Control System	Diversion Swales		rock in place, no silting in or head cutting	Yes y No	
	Excessive silt build up at fence lines in diversion channel		allowed if not effecting cover system	Yes 😿 No	

^{*} Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan Form 2 of 4 - Site Perimeter

	Inspection Date: 5-3-08		
	Inspector: Tremane		
	Visible Outlying Areas		
Observed Condition:	No pupling - No. See germeniting		
Observed Damage:	Nov-		
	· .	May require repair: Yes	No 🗡
	Property Boundary Fence and Gate (walk fence	line)	,
Observed Condition:	All fine		
Observed Damage:	House		
Potential Corrective Actions:	New		
		May require repair: Yes	ط No •
	All Upgradient Areas (areas that drain onto prop	erty)	
Observed Condition:	All Fina		

Observed Damage:	Nute		·
•		May require repair: Yes	No 🛣

^{*} Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pong 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan

Form 3 of 4 - Impoundment

Inspection Date: 5-3-08				
Outslopes				
Observed Performance:	Rock Cover Subsidence: Yes No 🔨	May require repair: Yes* No*		
	Excessive Slope Movement (failure): Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No _*		
	Guily Development: Yes No >p_	May require repair: Yes* No		
	Observable Leachate (colored): Yes No _ V	May require repair: Yes* No		
	Excessive Siltation (at slope toe): Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No		
Observed Damage: N	M44			
Potential Corrective Actions:	6×4~			
	Top (top surface soils)			
Observed Performance:	Cracking (>1" width): Yes No \(\bigsip \)	May require repair: Yes No		
	Settlement / Evidence of Ponding: Yes No	May require repair: Yes No		
	Erosion / Gullying: Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No		
Observed No.	'M4			
Potential Corrective Actions:	(n=-			
	Erosion Protection Layer (rock)			
Observed Performance:	Rock Staying in Place: Yes V No	May require repair: Yes No		
	Rock Subsiding: Yes No Y	May require repair: Yes No		
	Missing Rock: Yes No ge	May require repair: Yes No		
Observed Damage: K	THE ALL			
Potential Corrective Actions:	unce			

mark all areas or concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan Form 4 of 4 - Diversion Channel and Swales

Date:	5-3-18		·
Inspector:	Trum		
	·	Diversion Channel	
Observed Performance;	Erosion Protection in place:	Yes 👱 No	May require repair: Yes* No 🄀
	Normal Flow Channel in place:	Yes yo No	May require repair: Yes No
·	Encroaching on Site Fencing:	Yes No 🔀	May require repair: Yes * No ~_
Observed Damage:	KML		
Potential Corrective Actions:	Now-		
		¥.,	·
	·	Diversion Swales	
Observed Performance:	Erosion Protection in place:	Yes 💆 No	May require repair: Yes No
	Flow Channel Silting In:	Yes No y	May require repair: Yes No Y
	Head Cutting:	Yes No y	May require repair: Yes No
Observed Damage: N	and the same		
Potential Corrective Actions:	10m-		

^{*} Mark alt areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Activity	2004 Budget	Revised Budget May 2004	Committed Cost this Period	Cumulative Committed Cost To Date 5-31-08	Forecasted Cost To Complete	Forecasted Final Cost	Remarks on Forecast to Complete
Phases I through III (Completed February 2006)							
Phase I - Drain Excess Liquid From Tallings	189,200	72,700		67,928	0	67,928	nation to the contract of the
Phases II, IIA + IIB - Evaporate Excess Liquid	6,000	8,000		242,882	0	242,882	
Phase III - Regrading & Final Cover System	337,000	342,050		504,742	0	504,742	
Field Indirect Costs	164,500	213,568		378,517	0	378,517	Includes Jan + Feb 2006 long term monitoring costs
Heda Costs	18,700	18,700		33,324		33,324	
Subtotal Phases I through III	715,400	655,018	0	1,227,393	0	1,227,393	/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
Long Term Monitoring (wrough FY 2010)				***************************************			
Site Inspections			141	5,352		7,255	
Settlement Monitoring				5,400	4,725	10,125	
Consultant Support	44 - 9 - 4 - 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4						e granden en como con como esta conjunción como consecuencia en come con consecuencia en conse
Annual Geolechnical Engineer Inspections			0	2,495		20,595	Includes settlement monitoring data analysis
Vegetation Monitoring Site Conditions Review - MEI			ļQ	6,474	20,000 3,327	20,000 9,801	Allowance for surveys in FY 2008 - 2010
Site Conditions Review - SVL Analytical				2,079		2,079	AND THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT
Erosion Repair Review - MEI	,		, <u>_</u>	2,927	573	3,500	
Revegetation Review - Bamberg	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				3,500		
en e	*************************						
Maintenance:							
Erosion Repair Allowance				21,941	7,500	29,441	Erosion repair conducted April 2008
Revegetation Allowance	***************************************			9,912	10,000	19,912	Revegetation conducted April 2008
Hecla Project Management Costs:					***************************************		
Labor			Õ	2,266		10,175	Control of the Contro
Travel expenses	face assurt the extra the commence of		0	ļ	1,312	1,312	
Subtotal Long Term Monitoring	0	0	141	58,846	78,849	137,695	

Total Pond 2 Final Closure	715,400	655,018	141	1,286,239	78,849	1,365,088	The same of the sa

Fw: Apex - May Monthly Ericr Johnson to: Amy Swanson, Monica Morales

06/16/2008 11:05 AM

-- Forwarded by Ericr Johnson/ENF/R8/USEPA/US on 06/16/2008 11:04 AM



"Paul Glader" <pglader@hecla-mining.com</pre>

To Ericr Johnson/ENF/R8/USEPA/US@EPA

CC

06/16/2008 10:23 AM

Subject Apex - May Monthly



Apex Pond 2 - progress rpt 49 complete, may 2008.pdf